



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/026,814	12/27/2001	Daisuke Kitazawa	217663US2	1905
22850	7590 08/10/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			LE, NHAN T	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2685	
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/026,814	KITAZAWA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit:				
		Nhan T. Le	2685				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steeply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may and it. In a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on g	<u>08 July 2004</u> .	•				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		,				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Businessee the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/07/2005. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/026,814

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khan et al (US 6,400,954) in view of Mayrand et al (US 5,504,939).

As to claims 1, 10, Khan teaches an acceptance control apparatus, used in a radio communication system comprising a receiving part which receives a connection request signal which newly requires connection (see fig. 3, number 40, col. 5, lines 14-40); a request quality holding part which holds only required communication quality values on terminals for each of which connection has been accepted (see fig. 3, number 42, col. 5, lines 14-40); and a determination part which determines acceptance/refusal of the connection for the new terminal (see fig. 3, numbers 44, 48, col. 5, lines 14-40); wherein the determination part obtains an available communication quality value from the required communication quality values of the terminals currently on connection held by the request quality holding part and a maximum permissible communication quality value of the radio communication system, and, when the available communication quality value satisfies the required communication quality value of the new terminal, the determination part accepts the connection for the new terminal (see col. 5, lines 63-67, col. 6, lines 1-49). Khan fails to teach a required communication quality value from a

Art Unit: 2685

new terminal. Mayrand teaches a required communication quality value from a new terminal (see col. 6, lines 21-53). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Mayrand into the system of Khan in order to select proper channel in the communication system (as suggested by Mayrand col. 6, lines 28-33).

As to claims 4, 13, the combination of Khan and Mayrand teaches wherein a throughput value is employed as the communication quality value for determining acceptance/refusal of connection of the new terminal (see Khan fig. 3, numbers 44, 48, col. 5, lines 14-40).

As to claim 5, 14, the combination of Khan and Mayrand teaches wherein a delay time value is employed as the communication quality value for determining acceptance/refusal of connection of the new terminal (see Khan col. 5, lines 41-62).

As to claims 2, 11, Khan teaches an acceptance control apparatus, used in a radio communication system comprising a receiving part which receives a connection request signal which newly requires connection (see fig. 3, number 40, col. 5, lines 14-40); a quality measuring and holding part which obtains a communication quality type of the required communication quality of the new terminal received by the receiving part, measures the communication quality values on the terminals currently on connection for the thus-obtained communication quality type, and holds the measurement values (see fig. 3, number 42, col. 5, lines 14-40); and a determination part which determines acceptance/refusal of the connection for the new terminal (see fig. 3, numbers 44, 48, col. 5, lines 14-40); wherein: the determination part calculates an available

Art Unit: 2685

communication quality value from the measurement values of the communication quality type held by the quality measuring and holding part and a maximum permissible communication quality value of the radio communication system, and, when the available communication quality value satisfies the required communication quality value of the new terminal, the determination part accept the connection for the new terminal (see col. 5, lines 63-67, col. 6, lines 1-49). Khan fails to teach a required communication quality value from a new terminal. Mayrand teaches a required communication quality value from a new terminal (see col. 6, lines 21-53). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Mayrand into the system of Khan in order to select proper channel in the communication system (as suggested by Mayrand col. 6, lines 28-33).

As to claims 6, 15, the combination of Khan and Mayrand teaches wherein a throughput value is employed as the communication quality value for determining acceptance/refusal of connection of the new terminal (see Khan fig. 3, numbers 44, 48, col. 5, lines 14-40).

As to claims 7, 16, the combination of Khan and Mayrand teaches wherein a delay time value is employed as the communication quality value for determining acceptance/refusal of connection of the new terminal (see Khan col. 5, lines 41-62).

As to claims 3, 12, Khan teaches an acceptance control apparatus, used in a radio communication system comprising a receiving part which receives a connection request signal from a new terminal which newly requires connection (see fig.

Page 5

3, number 40, col. 5, lines 14-40); a request quality holding part (see fig. 3, number 42, col. 5, lines 14-40) which holds only required communication quality values on terminals for each of which connection has been accepted; and a quality measuring and holding part which obtains a communication quality type of the required communication quality of the new terminal received by the receiving part, measures the communication quality values on the terminals currently on connection for the thus- obtained communication quality type, and holds the measurement values (see fig. 3, number 42, col. 5, lines 14-40); and a determination part which determines acceptance/refusal of the connection for the new terminal (see col. 5, lines 63-67, col. 6, lines 1-49); wherein the determination part calculates an available communication quality value from the required communication quality values of the terminals currently on connection for the terminal for each of which the measurement value is more superior than the required value held by the request quality holding part, the measurement values of the communication quality type for the terminals for each of which the measurement value is less superior than the required value held by the quality measuring and holding part, and a maximum permissible communication quality value of the radio communication system, and; when the available communication quality value satisfies the required communication quality value of the new terminal, the determination part accept the connection for the new terminal (see col. 5, lines 63-67, col. 6, lines 1-49). Khan fails to teach a required communication quality value from a new terminal. Mayrand teaches a required communication quality value from a new terminal (see col. 6, lines 21-53). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to provide the teaching of Mayrand into the system of Khan in order to select proper channel in the communication system (as suggested by Mayrand col. 6, lines 28-33).

Page 6

As to claims 8, 17, the combination of Khan and Mayrand teaches wherein a throughput value is employed as the communication quality value for determining acceptance/refusal of connection of the new terminal (see Khan fig. 3, numbers 44, 48, col. 5, lines 14-40).

As to claims 9, 18, the combination of Khan and Mayrand teaches wherein a delay time value is employed as the communication quality value for determining acceptance/refusal of connection of the new terminal (see Khan col. 5, lines 41-62).

Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhan T Le whose telephone number is 571-272-7892. The examiner can normally be reached on 08:00-05:00 (Mon-Fri).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/026,814

Art Unit: 2685

Page 7

8/8/05

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nhan Le

QUOCHIEN B. VUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER

austren Ba Elwang